# A necessary condition for non-monotonic dose response

Polly Y. Yu (Joint work with Eduardo Sontag)

NSF–Simons Center for Mathematical and Statistical Analysis of Biology, Harvard Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois Urbana–Champaign

SPT-CRN Workshop/KSMB-SMB Annual Meeting Jun/Jul 2024



$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ ODE } \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \vec{f}(\vec{x}; u)
$$

▶ steady state curve  $\vec{x}^*(u)$ 

► shape of  $\vec{x}^*(u)$ 

#### homeostasis



## Homeostasis, biphasic response, perfect adaptation



## A claim of necessary condition?

**PECEARCH ARTICLE - APRILED MATHEMATICS - M** 

F.M. in Its Life

#### Architecture of a minimal signaling pathway explains the T-cell response to a 1 million-fold variation in antigen affinity and dose

Melissa Lever, Hong-Sheng Lim, Philipp Kruger, 45, and Omer Dushek <sup>+</sup> C Authors Info & Affiliations October 4, 2016 | 113 (43) E6630-E6638 | https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1608820113

### Oversimplifying:

Finally, models without an incoherent feed-forward loop but with negative feedback, although able to produce oscillations of P in time, **cannot produce a bell-shaped dose–response** (Fig. 4D and SI Appendix, Fig. S5; see also SI Appendix for a mathematical proof).

Mathematical setup

$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ For now, ODE } \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \vec{F}(\vec{x}, u) \coloneqq \vec{f}(\vec{x}) + \hat{e}_1 g(u)
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Jacobian matrix  $J(\vec{x}, u)$  with constant signs & negative diagonal

- Steady state curve  $\vec{x}^*(u)$   $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $J^* := J(\vec{x}^*(u), u)$  non-singular
- $\blacktriangleright$  Output variable  $x_i$

Graph representation

J-graph

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\blacktriangleright \left( x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0 \right) \\
\dot{x}_1 &= u - x_1 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= x_1^2 - x_2 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= 3x_1 + x_1^3 - 3x_2 - x_3\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\blacktriangleleft \textbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix}\n-1 & 0 & 0 \\
2x_1 & -1 & 0 \\
3 + 3x_1^2 & -3 & -1\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\n-a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
a_{21} & -a_{22} & 0 \\
a_{31} & -a_{32} & -a_{33}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

▶ Self-loops not drawn

 $x_1$ 

u

 $\setminus$ 

 $\Big\}$ 

 $X_3$ 

J-graph of J

J-graph of ODE

 $(x<sub>2</sub>)$ 

▶ feedback loop: cycle of length  $≥$  2

**• positive (PFBL)** if (product of) sign  $> 0$ 



### Feedback & feedforward loops

▶ feedback loop: cycle of length  $>$  2

- **positive (PFBL)** if (product of) sign  $> 0$
- **negative (NFBL)** if (product of) sign  $< 0$



▶ feedback loop: cycle of length  $>$  2

- **positive (PFBL)** if (product of) sign  $> 0$
- **negative (NFBL)** if (product of) sign  $<$  0
- ▶ feedforward loop: paths with same origin and destination
	- coherent (CFFL) if same signs



- ▶ feedback loop: cycle of length  $>$  2
	- **positive FBL (PFBL)** if (product of) sign  $> 0$
	- negative (NFBL) if (product of) sign  $<$  0
- $\triangleright$  feedforward loop: paths with same origin and destination
	- coherent (CFFL) if same signs
	- incoherent (IFFL) if opposite signs



### Feedback & feedforward loops

- ▶ feedback loop: cycle of length  $> 2$ 
	- **positive (PFBL)** if (product of) sign  $> 0$
	- negative (NFBL) if (product of) sign  $<$  0
- $\triangleright$  feedforward loop: paths with same origin and destination
	- coherent (CFFL) if same signs
	- incoherent (IFFL) if opposite signs

### $\blacktriangleright$  input-output path



### Results

### Summary

Algebraic condition (Cramer's rule):

$$
\frac{\partial x_j^*}{\partial u} = \frac{(-1)^j}{\det \mathbf{J}^*} \mathbf{J}^*[\hat{1}, \hat{j}]
$$

arXiv:2403.13862 (g-hio)

A necessary condition for nonmonotonic dose response, with an application to a kinetic proofreading model -- Extended version

Polly Y. Yu, Eduardo D. Sontag

 $\triangleright$  Graph-theoretical condition for no mixed signs in minors

 $J[\hat{1}, \hat{1}], J[\hat{1}, \hat{n}]$ 

# ▶ Graph-theoretical condition for when stable branch  $x_j^*(u)$  is monotonic

```
Quasi-adaptive \implies IFFL<sup>1</sup> or PFBL<sup>2</sup>
         ⇑
    Biphasic
Stable biphasic \implies IFFL^1 or <code>NFBL^3</code>
          ⇑
```
arXiv:2403.13862 (n-hio)

A necessary condition for nonmonotonic dose response, with an application to a kinetic proofreading model -- Extended version

Polly Y. Yu, Eduardo D. Sontag

Stable biphasic  $\implies$  IFFL<sup>1</sup> or (PFBL<sup>2</sup> & NFBL<sup>3</sup>)

 $^2$  if  $j=1$ , disjoint from  $x_1$ ; if  $j\neq 1$ , vertex-disjoint from an input-output path 3 reachable from input and to output  $8/17$ 

 $1$  from  $u$  to output

#### $Input = Output$

Let G be the J-graph of a signed symbolic matrix **J** with negative diagonal. Let  $G(\hat{1})$  be G without the node  $x_1$  and incident edges.

Then  $\mathbf{J}[\hat{1}, \hat{1}]$  has mixed signs  $\iff$   $G(\hat{1})$  has PFBL.





$$
\mathbf{J}[\hat{1},\hat{1}] = a_{44}(a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32})
$$

#### Input  $1 \neq 0$ utput n

Let G be the J-graph of a signed symbolic matrix **J** with negative diagonal.

1. Then  $J[\hat{1}, \hat{n}] \equiv 0 \iff G$  has no input-output path.



#### Input  $1 \neq 0$ utput n

Let G be the J-graph of a signed symbolic matrix **J** with negative diagonal.

- 1. Then  $J[\hat{1}, \hat{n}] \equiv 0 \iff G$  has no input-output path.
- 2. J $[\hat{1}, \hat{n}]$  has mixed signs  $\iff$  G has either an IFFL, or a PFBL vertex-disjoint from an input-output path.



#### Input  $1 \neq 0$ utput n

Let G be the J-graph of a signed symbolic matrix **J** with negative diagonal.

- 1. Then  $J[\hat{1}, \hat{n}] \equiv 0 \iff G$  has no input-output path.
- 2. J $[\hat{1}, \hat{n}]$  has mixed signs  $\iff$  G has either an IFFL, or a PFBL vertex-disjoint from an input-output path.

$$
(0) \rightarrow (x_1) \rightarrow (x_4) \rightarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad J[\hat{1}, \hat{4}] = a_{21}a_{32}a_{43} + a_{41}(a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32})
$$

### Necessary condition for quasi-adaptation

Biphasic  $\implies$  quasi-adaptation  $\implies$  mixed sign in minor

$$
\blacktriangleright \,\, \mathbf{J}[\hat{1},\hat{1}] \implies \mathsf{PFBL}
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \mathsf{J}[\hat{1},\hat{n}] \implies \mathsf{IFFL}, \, \mathsf{or} \, \mathsf{PFBL}
$$

- **•** algebraic property
- ▶ what about stable branch?

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow & & \text{w} \\
\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} \\
\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} \\
\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} \\
\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} \\
\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} & \text{w} \\
\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} \\
\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} \\
\hline\n\text{w} & \rightarrow & \text{w} & \text
$$



#### Based on monotone systems theory

For the system  $\dot{\vec{x}} = \vec{f}(\vec{x}) + \hat{\textbf{e}}_1 g(u)$  with output  $x_j$ , assume

- ▶ signed Jacobian matrix  $J(\vec{x}, u)$  with negative diagonal,
- ▶ Hurwitz along steady state  $\vec{x}^*(u)$ , convergence to  $\vec{x}^*(u)$ .

If  $x_j^*(u)$  is *not* monotonic, then the J-graph either has an IFFL from  $u$  to  $x_j$ , or a NFBL that is reachable from  $u$  and to  $x_j$ .

```
Quasi-adaptive \implies IFFL<sup>1</sup> or PFBL<sup>2</sup>
         ⇑
    Biphasic
Stable biphasic \implies IFFL^1 or <code>NFBL^3</code>
         ⇑
```
Stable biphasic  $\implies$  IFFL $^1$  or (PFBL $^2$  & NFBL $^3)$ 

 $^2$  if  $j=1$ , disjoint from  $x_1$ ; if  $j\neq 1$ , vertex-disjoint from an input-output path 3 reachable from input and to output  $14/17$  reachable from input and to output

 $1$  from  $u$  to output

Extensions & future directions

### Extensions & future directions

$$
\blacktriangleright \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \vec{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{u}) \text{ for only } \frac{\partial x_j^*}{\partial u_i} \qquad \text{— What about } \nabla_{\vec{u}} x_j^*?
$$

 $\triangleright$  meaning of  $\longrightarrow$ ,  $\longrightarrow$ 

► 
$$
(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y})
$$
 means activation:  $Y_{\text{inact}} \rightleftharpoons Y$   $X + Y_{\text{inact}} \rightarrow X + Y$ 

\n▶  $(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y})$  means inhibition:  $Y_{\text{inact}} \rightleftharpoons Y$   $X + Y \rightarrow X + Y_{\text{inact}}$ 

— What about general conservation laws?

### Example with conservation laws:

▶ Example:

$$
S_0 + E \xrightarrow{\frac{20}{1}} C_1 \xrightarrow{50} S_1 + E \xrightarrow{\frac{20}{1}} C_2 \xrightarrow{5} S_2 + E
$$
  

$$
S_2 + F \xrightarrow{\frac{10}{1}} D_1 \xrightarrow{50} S_1 + F \xrightarrow{\frac{10}{1}} D_2 \xrightarrow{5} S_0 + F
$$



$$
S_{\text{total}}=100,\ F_{\text{total}}=25
$$

— relation to "ACR"-like property?

### Example of stable biphasic & no IFFL:









Not mass-action

— a mass-action example?

— DSR graph condition for kinetics systems?

### Thank you!



arXiv:2403.13862 (g-bio)

A necessary condition for nonmonotonic dose response, with an application to a kinetic proofreading model -- Extended version

Polly Y. Yu, Eduardo D. Sontag



"Part II" – in progress



Slides

### Additional slides

**Examples** 

